

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/818,399	03/27/2001	Takayuki Iyama	450100-03044 2792		
20999	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EDWARDS, PATRICK L		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	э.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/818,399		IYAMA, TAKAYUKI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Patrick L. Edwa		2621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	rrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS C FR 1.136(a). In no event, ho on. eriod will apply and will expir statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	. bly filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,			•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 August 2005.					
·	<u> </u>	This action is non-fi	nal.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for f	ormal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
. ,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		eration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requi	rement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \Box	accepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note tl	ne attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
	application from the International B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		a in this Hational Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•		d.			
			•				
Attachmen	tte)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date	5) L 6) [¬	atent Application (PTO-152)			
	rademark Office						



Application/Control Number: 09/818,399

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 23 August 2005 has been entered.

Claim Objections

- 2. The follow quotations of 37 CFR \S 1.75(a) and (d)(1) provide the basis of objection:
 - (a) The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75(a) as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 7, the metes and bounds of the term "normal image component" are not clear as currently recited in the claim. Specifically, it is unclear why one image component would be considered normal, and another not. What characteristics of the image component dictate this decision?

Claims 2-6, and 8-10 are similarly rejected because the indefiniteness of the independent claims trickles down the claim tree and is absorbed by the dependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by MacInnis et al. (USPN 6,573,905).

With regard to claim 1, which is representative of claim 7, MacInnis discloses setting a blending coefficient alpha (which has a value between 0 and 1) at a specified value proportional to a value of a specific picture element component included in picture element components A of a first image when said value of the specific picture elemenet indicates a normal image component (col. 110 lines 35-56: The alpha value disclosed in MacInnis is analogous to the blending coefficient recited in the claim. MacInnis discloses performing a comparison operation, and then setting the alpha value at 1 if this comparison comes out negative (i.e. a normal image component is

Application/Control Number: 09/818,399

Art Unit: 2621

found). MacInnis further discloses that this alpha value can either be set at 1 (i.e. a single alpha value) or that it can be set to a value proportional to a specific picture element value (see lines 37-42 for three other alpha determination methods which anticipate this limitation).).

MacInnis further discloses setting the specified value to zero if the specific picture element does not indicate a normal image component (col. 110 lines 35-56: MacInnis discloses setting the alpha value to zero if a comparison operation gives a positive result (i.e. it is not a normal image component).)

MacInnis further discloses a means for performing an operation on the picture element components A, the picture element components B of a second image, and the blending coefficient alpha by using the formula (B*(1-alpha) + A*alpha) (col. 110 line 20). The Top Layer and Bottom Layer disclosed in MacInnis correspond to the first and second images, respectively, as recited in the claim.

With respect to the limitation that the first image is a still image and the second image is a moving image, MacInnis discloses that the top and bottom layers (i.e. the first and second images) are "graphics layers" (col. 110 line 17). MacInnis further discloses that "graphics" includes graphics, text, or video (col. 1 lines 59-60). Thus, MacInnis discloses the first image as a still image (a graphics or text image) and the second image as a moving image (i.e. a video image).

MacInnis further discloses performing this operation on all the picture element components which have a specific picture element component representing the predetermined value (col. 110 lines 20-30). The image pixels disclosed in MacInnis are analogous to the picture elements as recited in the claim.

With regard to claim 2, the claim will be interpreted as follows. The predetermined value of a specific picture element component in a first image, which corresponds to a specified value of a blending coefficient, is a value which falls outside of a specified range and does not affect image display.

MacInnis discloses a predetermined value of zero (col. 110 line 66 – col. 111 line 5). This predetermined value falls outside of a specified range (16-235) and does not affect the display of the image (transparent).

With regard to claim 3, MacInnis further discloses the specific picture element component is a luminance component (col. 110 line 66 – col. 111 line 5).

With regard to claim 4, MacInnis further discloses setting the blending coefficient at zero when the specific picture element component is zero, and setting it to unity when the component is other than zero (col. 110 line 66 – col. 111 line 5).

With regard to claim 5, MacInnis further discloses setting the blending coefficient at zero when the specific picture element component is zero, and setting it to a specified value that satisfies, 0 < alpha <= 1, when the component is other than zero (col. 110 line 66 – col. 111 line 5).

With regard to claim 6, MacInnis further discloses that the data of both the first and second images are data in an ITU-R601 format having a luminance component and a color difference component as said picture elements A and B, respectively (col. 6 lines 40-49).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 8, MacInnis further discloses that the specific picture element component is a color component or a color difference component when image data includes a color component or a color difference component (MacInnis col. 110 lines 41-42: The reference describes that color is a specific picture element component).

Regarding claim 9, MacInnis further discloses that a relationship between the specific picture element component and the blending coefficient is preset in an image generation means (MacInnis col. 110 lines 34-43: The reference describes four different relationships—which are preset in an image generation means by a user.).

Regarding claim 10, MacInnis further discloses that a relationship between the specific picture element component and the blending coefficient is selected by a user (MacInnis col. 110 lines 34-43: The reference describes four different relationships—which are preset in an image generation means by a user.).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick L Edwards whose telephone number is (571) 272-7390. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Mancuso can be reached on (571) 272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick L Edwards

Art Unit 2621

nle

ANDREW W. JOHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER